

Localized laser doped contacts for silicon solar cells:

characterization and efficiency potential

Andreas Fell, Evan Franklin, Daniel Walter, Klaus Weber SPREE Seminar Sydney, 21/08/2014



Outline

- What are localized contacts?
- Efficiency potential
- Characterization methods
- Cell result / outlook



Point contact solar cell (PCSC)







Localized contacts by laser

- Rear junction necessary due to small pitch for good collection
 - High lifetime bulk and good surface passivation required

Pro's:

- Laser localized in nature, (potentially) low-cost
- Simple process sequence for combined doping / contact opening
- Low area fraction allows relatively high recombination

Challenges:

- (IBC Metallization)
- V_{oc} vs. FF and J_{sc} , any sweet spots?
- Performance of single step process / alignment for two-step process



Single step vs. two step laser process



• Edges are critical, potential source of high recombination / shunts



Localized vs. large area laser-IBC



- Very good J₀ of doped area required
- 22% by University of Stuttgart¹
- Laser doping throughput?



- "Easy" to achieve low surface recombination
- Challenge of edge regions
- High throughput potential

¹Dahlinger, M., et al., 22.0% Efficient Laser Doped back Contact Solar Cells. Energy Procedia, 2013. 38(0): p. 250-253.



Efficiency potential: upper limit

- Intrinsic 1.5 Ωcm n-type wafer, no surface recombination
- Fully contacted and transparent front surface
- 42 mA/cm² typical generation profile
- Variation of J_{0pn} and r_{cont} , pitch optimized for each value pair



Fell, A., et al., *Characterization of Laser-Doped Localized p-n Junctions for High Efficiency Silicon Solar Cells.* Electron Devices, IEEE Transactions on, 2014. **61**(6): p. 1943-1949.



Efficiency potential: upper limit



24% @ 10000 fA/cm²!

Fell, A., et al., *Characterization of Laser-Doped Localized p-n Junctions for High Efficiency Silicon Solar Cells.* Electron Devices, IEEE Transactions on, 2014. **61**(6): p. 1943-1949.



Efficiency potential: ANU IBC

- Same generation, 5 fA/cm² front and rear passivation
- 30x30 µm² contact size, negligible contact resistance
- Variation of J_{0cont} , bulk resistivity and SRH bulk lifetime (@ 1e15cm⁻³ injection level)
- For each point, optimization of n- and p-contact-fraction





Efficiency potential: ANU IBC



• To reach same efficiency, n-type requires ca. 2x bulk lifetime



Characterization of J_{0cont} and r_{cont}

- Goal: fast and accurate determination of the specific properties J_{0cont} and r_{cont}
- Test structures necessary for effective exploration of the substantial available parameter space
- Common characterization techniques not applicable to these small scale features (e.g. QSSPC, TLM), and area upscaling (by e.g. overlapping) not valid due to importance of edge effects
- → Development of dedicated test structures based on accurate but fast 2D / 3D numerical simulations (Quokka)



Characterization of J_{0cont}

• First step: "large" processed areas by stitching for wide parameter screening



Fell, A., et al., Quantitative surface recombination imaging of single side processed silicon wafers obtained by photoluminescence modeling. siliconPV 2014, s'Hertogenbosch, the Netherlands

Images from: Marco Ernst et al., submitted to 6th WCPEC, Kyoto, Japan



Characterization of J_{0cont}

- Processing many boxes with regular pattern of features
- J_{0cont} can be determined by matching simulated and measured PL signal for varying injection levels (at the contact)



Fell, A., et al., *Determination of Injection Dependent Recombination Properties of Locally Processed Surface Regions*. Energy Procedia, 2013. **38**(Proceedings of the SiliconPV2013): p. 22-31.



Characterization of r_{cont}

• 3D numerical simulation of ohmic resistance structure for **majority** carrier contact





Characterization of r_{cont} (J_{0cont} , r_{shunt})

- Fabrication and simulation of device with localized pn-junction
- Dark IV-curve turns out to be very sensitive to r_{cont} (and J_{0cont})
- Recombination properties must be (only) coarsely known to account for contribution of spreading resistance in the bulk



Fell, A., et al., *Characterization of Laser-Doped Localized p-n Junctions for High Efficiency Silicon Solar Cells.* Electron Devices, IEEE Transactions on, 2014. **61**(6): p. 1943-1949.



Characterization of r_{cont} (J_{0cont} , r_{shunt})

 Experiment: doping from spin-on-dopant with 532nm overlapping laser pulses and single pulses through SiO₂ / Si₃N₄ stack



· High and non-ideal recombination for single step process

Fell, A., et al., *Characterization of Laser-Doped Localized p-n Junctions for High Efficiency Silicon Solar Cells.* Electron Devices, IEEE Transactions on, 2014. **61**(6): p. 1943-1949.



"Measured" efficiency potential



• 22% - 24% seem realistically achievable in adopted ANU IBC design

Fell, A., et al., *Characterization of Laser-Doped Localized p-n Junctions for High Efficiency Silicon Solar Cells.* Electron Devices, IEEE Transactions on, 2014. **61**(6): p. 1943-1949.



First all-laser-processed cell batch



rear n-type laser doping (P-SOD)

rear p-type laser doping (B-SOD)

front + rear SiO₂ / Si₃N₄

front + rear SiO_2 / Si_3N_4

front strip + texture

front passivation + AR + resist

rear laser contact opening

HF etch

Al evaporation + photolit. pattern

sinter + measure

Franklin, E., et al., *Design, Fabrication and Characterization of a 24.4% Efficient Interdigitated Back Contact Solar Cell.* 2014, Progress in Photovoltaics: Research and Applications, in revision



First all-laser-processed cell batch

- Very good J_{sc} in overall > 41 mA/cm², ok V_{oc} up to 670 mV, low to very low FF
- Likely non-ohmic contact problem (non-ideal Shottkey shunts?)
- Best cell 19%, not bad for a first (very quick!) batch







Conclusions

- All-laser-doped cell has attractive features:
 - Low thermal budget, low cost AND high efficiency IBC design (up to ca. 24%)
 - Requirements on the quality of local contacts less strict than (personally) expected
- Still early stage of fundamental research
- Suitable characterization methods established to explore large parameter spaces
- Currently proper effort ongoing into a two-step IBC cell design with hopefully > 22% efficiency
- Future work will explore single step processes for simplification



Thank you for your attention

Particular thanks to the IBC group for cell processing. Having an accessible ~24% baseline cell process is invaluable!

Many thanks to the Australian Renewable Energy Agency (ARENA) for funding under the fellowship 5-F007 and other projects